

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,513 09/16/2003		Sandra M. Aris	0340-0001	1362	
32256	7590	10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
REED SMI			ELKINS, GARY E		
1301 K STR	EET, N.W	•			
SUITE 1100	EAST TO	WER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	3727		
		·		DATE MAIL ED: 10/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	n No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0/662,513	ARIS ET AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit				
		•	ry E. Elkins	3727				
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	20 July 2	<i>005</i> .					
2a) ☐ Th	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This act	on is non-final.					
3) <u></u> Si	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-31 and 37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-22 and 32-36 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) X Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ o(s)/Mail Date <u>20030916</u> .		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			
S Patent and Trade	mark Office							

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Figs. 1-4 in the reply filed on 20 July 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 23-31 and 37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hinges constructed as set forth in claim 33 and claim 34 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/662,513 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17, 19 and 22 contain the trademark/trade names "Tyvek", "Gore-Tex", "Sympa-Tex" and "Teflon". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe materials and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

In claim 1, line 18, "the side of the storage area having the first and second hinge point" lacks antecedent basis in the claim. Only the first hinge point was previously defined with respect a side of the storage area.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/662,513 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 33, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo Vico. Lo Vico discloses a carrying apparatus including a plurality of compartments and at least one point about which the device pivots to form a carrying case (fig. 6). With respect to claim 36, the case comprises closure means 14a, 14b for securing the case in a closed position. It is noted that no distinction is seen between the case claimed and that of Lo Vico as a result of the claimed intended use to "contain dirty surfaces", i.e. the case of Lo Vico is considered capable of "containing dirty surfaces". Also, with respect to claim 35, no distinction is seen between the case claimed and that of Lo Vico as a result of the claimed intended use of the compartments as a storage area and a changing area. The compartment 11b is considered capable of storing an article or articles and area 12a is considered capable of forming a mat for someone to stand or sit on when changing something.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo Vico in view of either Wilkinson or Casey. Lo Vico discloses all structure of the claimed case except a hinge point comprising openings in each compartment and a joining device extending through each opening. Each of Wilkinson and Casey discloses formation of a fold-up mat construction using

Application/Control Number: 10/662,513

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

multiple pieces connected by seams formed by a hole in each section and a joining device extending through the holes. It would have been obvious to make the case of Lo Vico from multiple connected sections as taught by either Wilkinson or Casey to allow separation for cleaning or storage and to allow easier manufacture from continuous webs of material. It is noted that concept of forming the case from multiple pieces as opposed to one piece is considered prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art. The art is replete with examples of both single piece and multiple piece constructions where the multiple pieces are attached using connected seams.

8. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo Vico in view of either Ruddy or Alonso. Lo Vico discloses all structure of the claimed case except a hinge point comprising a sewn seam formed by a tab on each compartment. Each of Ruddy and Alonso discloses formation of a fold-up mat construction using multiple pieces connected by seams formed by sewing tabs connected to each compartment. It would have been obvious to make the case of Lo Vico from multiple connected sections as taught by either Ruddy or Alonso to allow easier manufacture from continuous webs of material. Sewn seams are notoriously well known in this art.

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

The remaining cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,513

Art Unit: 3727

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is

Page 6

encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be

used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a

fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner

and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file

information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Gary E. Elkins
Primary Examiner

03 October 2005